

List of Figure

Figure 1.1 Step involved in the fabrication of surface composites using FSP (a) machining of groove, (b) compacting the grove with reinforcement particles (c) clapping pass and (d) stirring pass (Sathiskumar, 2013)	4
Figure 1.2 Methods for adding secondary particle phase in the matrix (Sunil, Reddy, Patle, & Dumpala, 2016).....	5
Figure 1.3 Friction Stir Welding Tool (Meilinger & Torok, 2013)	8
Figure 1.4 Schematic of FSW process (Mishra & Ma, 2005)	8
Figure 1.5 Various microstructural regions in the transverse cross-section of a friction stir welded material (Mahoney, Rhodes, Flintoff, Spurling, & Bingel, 1998)	10
Figure 1.6 Flow chart of methodology	14
Figure 2.1 Effect of different pouring temperature and stirring time on ultimate tensile strength (Khademian, Alizadeh, & Abdollahi, 2016).....	18
Figure 2.2 Optical Microscopy of As-cast composites constant stirring time of 15 min and (a) pouring temperature 850 °C and (b) pouring temperature 950 °C (Khademian, Alizadeh, & Abdollahi, 2016)	19
Figure 2.3 Effect of constant stirring speed (600 rpm) and different stirring time on the hardness of Al-SiC composites (Prabu, Karunamoorthy, Kathiresan, & Mohan, 2006).....	20
Figure 2.4 Effect of different stirring speed and constant stirring time (5 min) on the hardness of Al-SiC composite (Prabu, Karunamoorthy, Kathiresan, & Mohan, 2006)	21
Figure 2.5 Microstructure of (a) Mg-Al9Zn magnesium alloy and (b) as-cast Mg-Al9Zn/15SiC composite (Gui, Han, & Li, 2004)	22
Figure 2.6 Microstructure of T4 treated (a) Mg-Al9Zn magnesium alloy and (b) Mg-Al9Zn/15SiC composite (Gui, Han, & Li, 2004).....	22
Figure 2.7 Microstructure of A356/SiC composites (a) 5% SiC (b) 10% SiC and (c) 15% SiC (Dwivedi, Sharma, & Mishra, 2014)	22
Figure 2.8 SEM images of (a) as-cast A356 alloy (b) A356 + 0.5% Al ₂ O ₃ (c) A356 + 1% Al ₂ O ₃ and (d) A356 + 1.5% Al ₂ O ₃ (Sekar, Allesu, & Joseph, 2015)	23
Figure 2.9 Microstructure of AA 6082 reinforced with (a) SiC (b) Al ₂ O ₃ (c) TiC (d) B ₄ C (e) WC (Dinakaran, 2016).....	27
Figure 2.10 Hardness profile for AA 6063 based surface composite with a different weight percent of reinforcement particles (Narimani, Lotfi, & Sadeghian, 2016).....	28

Figure 2.11 Results of wear rate of AA 6063 surface composite with different weight percentage of reinforcement particles (Narimani, Lotfi, & Sadeghian, 2016)	29
Figure 2.12 Macrostructure of FSWed AA 6061 + 10% B ₄ C with four distinct zones (Chen, Silva, Gougeon, & St-Georges, 2009)	33
Figure 2.13 Profile of NZ (a) Basin and (b) Elliptical (Mishra & Ma, 2005)	33
Figure 2.14 Onion ring structure in NZ (Ceschini, Boromei, Minak, Morri, & Tarterini, 2007b)	33
Figure 2.15 Distribution of SiC particles in FSWed AMC (a) at top of NZ and (b) at the bottom surface of NZ near TMAZ (Storjohann, et al., 2005)	34
Figure 2.16 FSW of an oxide-dispersion-strengthened MA754 Ni based alloy: (a) agglomeration particle and (b) formation of the neck between two Y ₂ O ₃ dispersoids (Feng, Xiao, & Ma, 2008)	36
Figure 2.17 Hardness profile for A356 + 15% SiC (Amirizad, et al., 2006)	45
Figure 2.18 Microhardness profile for FSW of AA 2009 + SiC (Ni, Chen, Wang, Xiao, & Ma, 2013)	45
Figure 3.1 Electrical furnace used for melting	51
Figure 3.2 Particle size of reinforcement particle (SiC)	51
Figure 3.3 Aluminium alloy and wrapped SiC particles as per weight percent	52
Figure 3.4 Formation of a vortex due to the stirring of molten composite	53
Figure 3.5 Pouring of molten composite in mould	53
Figure 3.6 AA 2014+ x wt. % SiC Composite (x = 5, 10 and 15)	53
Figure 3.7 Schematic representing the specimens taken from as-cast composite for microstructure, mechanical and tribological evaluation	54
Figure 3.8 Tensile specimens cut using wire cut EDM from (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC	55
Figure 3.9 Dimension of the tensile test specimen	56
Figure 3.10 Pin-on-disc wear test machine	56
Figure 3.11 Micrograph of (a) as received AA 2014, (b) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (c) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (d) AA 2014 +15% SiC	58
Figure 3.12 Micrograph of AA 2014 + 10% SiC (a) at 200X and (b) at 1000X	61
Figure 3.13 Presence of SiC clusters and particle free region in (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC	62
Figure 3.14 Plot of microhardness for as-cast composites	64
Figure 3.15 Variation in average tensile strength with increase in weight percent of SiC	65

Figure 3.16 Specimens after performing a tensile test.....	67
Figure 3.17 Variation in elongation with an increase in weight percent of SiC.....	67
Figure 3.18 Plot of wear (microns) with respect to sliding distance	71
Figure 3.19 Average wear of stir cast composites	73
Figure 3.20 Plot of COF with respect to the sliding distance of as-received AA 2014 and as-cast composites	74
Figure 4.1 3-Axis automatic vertical milling machine used for FSP.....	78
Figure 4.2 Schematic representation of fixture.....	79
Figure 4.3 Fixture holding a workpiece on vertical milling machine bed.....	79
Figure 4.4 Dimensions of taper cylindrical threaded tool.....	80
Figure 4.5 Fabricated tool for FSP.....	80
Figure 4.6 Schematic representing the specimens taken from the processed zone	83
Figure 4.7 Macrostructure of processed composites.....	85
Figure 4.8 Micrographic images from NZ of AA 2014 + 5%, 10% and 15% SiC processed at T7 and T9 condition.....	89
Figure 4.9 Optical micrographs from TMAZ of AA 2014 + 5% SiC (a) T7 condition and (b) T9 condition.....	90
Figure 4.10 Optical micrographs from transmission zone of AA 2014 + 10% SiC (a) T7 condition and (b) T9 condition	92
Figure 4.11 Micrographs of NZ/TMAZ interface of AA 2014 + 15% SiC (a) T7 condition and (b) T9 condition	92
Figure 4.12 Comparison between micrograph of as-cast composite and FSPed composites..	97
Figure 4.13 Plot of microhardness of as-cast and processed composites (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 +15% SiC.....	101
Figure 4.14 Plot of average microhardness of as-cast and processed composites.....	102
Figure 4.15 Wear plot of as-cast, T7 processed and T9 processed AA 2014 + 5% SiC	104
Figure 4.16 Wear plot of as-cast, T7 processed and T9 processed AA 2014 + 10% SiC	105
Figure 4.17 Wear plot of as-cast, T7 processed and T9 processed AA 2014 + 15% SiC	106
Figure 4.18 Average wear of as-cast, T7 processed and T9 processed composites	108
Figure 4.19 Variation in Coefficient of Friction (COF) w.r.t. sliding distance for (A) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (B) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (C) AA 2014 + 15% SiC.....	110
Figure 4.20 Plot of average coefficient of friction for as-cast, T7 processed and T9 processed composites.....	110
Figure 5.1 Schematic showing the specimens taken from welded plates	114

Figure 5.2 Schematic showing dimensions of tensile specimens	115
Figure 5.3 Macrostructure of friction stir welded (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC	116
Figure 5.4 As-cast region of (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC observed using OM	117
Figure 5.5 As-cast region of (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC	118
Figure 5.6 HAZ of (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC observed using OM	119
Figure 5.7 TMAZ of (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC observed using OM	120
Figure 5.8 Transition zone of (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC captured using SEM	121
Figure 5.9 WN of (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC captured using SEM	123
Figure 5.10 Defects in WN (a) AA 2014 + 5% SiC, (b) AA 2014 + 10% SiC and (c) AA 2014 + 15% SiC captured using OM	124
Figure 5.11 Plot of tensile strength of as-cast and friction stir welded composite	125
Figure 5.12 Friction stir welded specimens after performing a tensile test	127
Figure 5.13 Plot of % elongation of as-cast and friction stir welded composite	127
Figure 5.14 Plot of microhardness of friction stir welded composite	129